

MISCELLANEOUS REPORT NO. 10

ASPEN MANAGEMENT PROBLEMS OF THE LAKE STATES

By Z. A. Zasada, Forest Economist

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
FOREST SERVICE
Lake States Forest Experiment Station

June 5, 1950

701
June 5, 1950

MISCELLANEOUS REPORT NO. 10

ASPEN MANAGEMENT PROBLEMS OF THE LAKE STATES

By Z. A. Zasada, Forest Economist 1/
Lake States Forest Experiment Station 2/

ECONOMIC SIGNIFICANCE OF ASPEN

Aspen, 3/ the most extensive forest type in the Lake States occupies almost 20 million acres or 39 percent of the commercial forest area in the region (8). It occupies twice the area of the next largest type, the northern hardwoods. It is, therefore, of tremendous importance to the economy of the region.

However, there are several things which mitigate against the management of aspen. Some of them are:

1. More than half of the aspen type is in seedling and sapling stands, and only about 6 percent is classed as saw timber (table 1).
2. Nearly 5 million acres of land classified as aspen are so poorly stocked as to be practically denuded (table 1).
3. About 31 percent of the total aspen type is estimated to be on poor sites, mostly poorly stocked and in the seedling and sapling classes (8).
4. A small percentage of the area in aspen must be considered non-commercial because of inaccessibility.

It appears, therefore, that little more than half of the aspen type can be counted on to produce timber of commercial importance. So the two major problems become: (1) how to manage the productive aspen stands, and (2) what to do with the noncommercial aspen areas. Only the former will be considered in this analysis, and chief emphasis will be on silvicultural applications in full recognition that financial aspects are deserving of much more attention than is given here.

1/ Prepared in collaboration with the Director's staff.

2/ Maintained by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, in cooperation with the University of Minnesota, at University Farm, St. Paul, Minnesota.

3/ As used here aspen refers to quaking aspen (Populus tremuloides) and big-tooth aspen (P. grandidentata). The former accounts for at least 90 percent of the volume.

Table 1.--Distribution of aspen type, by size class, for the three
lake States (7)

State	Saw timber	Pole timber	Seedlings and saplings	Poorly stocked and denuded	Total
<u>Thousands of acres</u>					
Minnesota	550	1,070	4,120	1,760	7,500
Wisconsin	290	1,095	3,225	1,848	6,458
Michigan	327	1,454	2,902	1,217	5,900
Total	1,167	3,619	10,247	4,825	19,858

PRESENT STATUS OF ASPEN IN THE LAKE STATES

Apparently, the maximum expansion of the aspen type in the Lake States has been ~~reached~~ because clear cutting of virgin timber and uncontrolled burning, the two factors that contribute most to its spread, no longer are prevalent. Better fire protection, cutting systems which favor the more tolerant species, and planting will encourage conversion of much aspen land to other forest types. The shrinkage of the aspen type, however, will probably be greatest on sites which produce good aspen. On such sites it will soon be within the control of the landowners to decide whether or not they will continue to produce aspen or convert to other species. Nonetheless, aspen is almost certain to prevail as an important commercial type over large areas for many years to come.

According to estimates by the Lake States Forest Experiment Station, aspen is the most abundant species in total wood volume in the region. In 1945 there were 4,360 million cubic feet of aspen as compared to 2,790 million cubic feet of sugar maple, which was second. In board-foot volume aspen rated behind sugar maple 6,380 million board feet as compared to 8,320 million board feet. The pulpwood volume of aspen in 1945 was almost as great as the total volume of all other common pulping species combined, and approximately four times as large as the supply of either spruce or balsam fir (8).

Estimates of drain from the region's forests for 1948 (12) show that aspen accounted for about 22 percent of the total cubic-foot drain, and was cut more heavily than any other species. Maple, oak, and hemlock were next in order, with 13, 12, and 9 percent respectively. Aspen is the most widely used species in the region for pulp, excelsior, fuel wood, and matches. It is fourth in use for sawlogs and box bolts, and is used in smaller amounts for veneer, fence posts, chemical wood, and other miscellaneous products. The average annual drain for the period 1935 to 1944 was about 84 million cubic feet (7). The drain in 1948 amounted to 147 million cubic feet (12). In 1936 aspen pulpwood production amounted to about 90 thousand cords; it increased to 281 thousand cords in 1941 and to 714 thousand cords in 1946 (11). The increase in lumber production was from 67 million board feet in 1941 (29) to 137 million board feet in 1948 (12). The availability of aspen, the depletion of other species, and an expanded demand for wood products during the war period contributed to the increased utilization of aspen.

As the use of aspen increased it met with favor in many quarters where it was formerly unknown. As a result the limitations of the species as to yields per acre, size of timber, methods of maintaining the stands, and losses due to natural enemies have come into focus. These and other factors have now stimulated region-wide interest in managing aspen as a forest crop.

ORIGIN AND CONDITION OF PRESENT ASPEN STANDS

Logging and fire are the principal agents credited for the large acreage of aspen stands now in existence. One authority (13) explains that aspen probably occurred in the original forest only in groups or as scattered individuals, and that repeated fires provided the means for the aspen type to expand, by root-suckering. Another (28) calls fire the "great introducing agent" of aspen, and explains that one fire in a virgin forest allows the establishment of a scattered stand of aspen seedlings and that subsequent fires are followed by an abundant crop of aspen sprouts from the roots of the seedlings.

The ability of aspen to reproduce by both root suckering and seeding and to grow rapidly on most soil types fits it well to take over unstocked lands. Because of its sprouting ability, aspen also maintains itself on lands it has invaded even after clear cutting or killing by fire. Even if not logged or burned, aspen appears perfectly capable of reproducing by suckering (23). On the other hand, aspen's seeding ability should not be counted on strongly as a means for perpetuating itself (18) on lands it has invaded.

Aspen has taken over land formerly occupied by other forest types. In many places the original species replaced have come back and now form a definite understory in the aspen stands. In the past aspen's ability to dominate these species has been aided by repeated burning, since the tolerant hardwoods, balsam fir, and spruce, and such species cannot reproduce following fire as well as aspen. With good fire control now in existence, aspen undoubtedly will be replaced gradually on much of the land it now occupies.^{4/} However, such natural conversion to other species will be a slow process.

Present utilization practices usually require the leaving of a considerable number of unmerchantable aspen trees after logging. When such trees are left, aspen suckers are less prolific and less vigorous than after complete cutting. Moreover, brush, which thrives better than aspen in partial shade, competes with and suppresses the aspen reproduction more severely where unmerchantable trees are left than on completely exposed areas. As a result, many stands that have come in following the cutting of aspen, even on the best sites, doubtless will be poorer in stocking and productivity than the original stand (34).

Enemies of Aspen

The principal agents injurious to aspen are fire, disease organisms, and insects. Animals, windstorms, sleet or heavy snow also are causes of injury. Frost, which is harmful to many tree species, does not appear to damage aspen severely.

Fire, although a great factor in the establishment of aspen, is very destructive to young trees. Stoeckeler (25) points out that repeated burns have reduced the site quality on a large portion of the aspen area. Meinecke (16) rates fire wounds as most important for spreading disease in aspen.

^{4/} That this has happened in the northeast is disclosed in a recent communication from the Northeastern Forest Experiment Station.

Insect attacks have caused heavy losses in aspen in the past. The forest tent caterpillar (Malacosoma disstria) probably has caused the most widespread damage. The larvae feed on the leaves of aspen and thus have defoliated many square miles of aspen stands for several successive years. Only extremes in weather have stopped their attacks. Other insects injurious to aspen are the poplar borer (Saperda calcarata), the bronze birch borer (Agrilus anxius), and the carpenter ant (Componotus herculeanus).

Hypoxylon canker (Hypoxylon pruinae) is described by Lorenz and Christenson (15) as "probably one of the most important diseases throughout the range of the tree." Gruenhagen (10) in studies in Wisconsin found 24 percent of all popple infected by this disease. Bior (6) reports that this canker is caused by a wood parasite and that "bark punctures resulting from insect attack commonly served as centers of infection." He also points out that attacks are not confined to young trees but rather to young bark on all trees. Thrifty trees are as susceptible to the disease as suppressed trees. Gruenhagen (10) found the canker most prevalent on poor sites and less infection on large trees. Baxter (4) apparently supports the latter view and suggests maintenance of vigorous growth throughout the life of the stand as a control measure. Zehngraff's (32) observations in Minnesota seem to indicate that canker is found most frequently on poor and medium sites, and on sites deteriorated by fire; that the disease is much less common on good sites, especially when aspen is growing in mixture with hardwoods or with balsam fir and spruce.

Another canker on aspen is caused by Cytospora chrysosperma. It attacks young stems and twigs which are thereby killed by girdling. It, however, is not considered a primary invader of living trees.

The false tinder fungus (Fomes igniarius) and the butt rot fungus (Fomes applanatus), both wood rots, are the most important diseases affecting the utilization of aspen. The rots enter the trees through wounds and bruises which expose the wood. They are more prevalent in older age stands than in young stands and usually are found in all overmature stands. These diseases can cause older stands to be total losses.

Aspen is a favored food for a number of wildlife species. It is the most important food for beaver. Deer and rabbits feed freely on the young trees (26). It is evident that large populations of wildlife can have a damaging effect on young aspen stands.

The aspens frequently are broken or uprooted by wind, heavy snow, or sleet. Their weak wood and slender boles offer poor resistance against these elements, even after the trees are almost full grown. The root systems are not adequate to anchor mature trees against the force of strong winds, especially when they have been left isolated in logging. Decay in the stems or roots, of course, greatly increases the danger of windfall.

EXISTING KNOWLEDGE ON ASPEN

Silvics of Aspen

The range of aspen extends across the North American continent through Canada into north central and northeastern United States and into the higher elevations of the Rocky Mountain region. The three Lake States are thus on the southern edge of the range. Since aspen is a species of cold climates it may be difficult to manage on a permanent basis in central Wisconsin and the Lower Peninsula of Michigan.

Aspen is a very intolerant species throughout its range. Weigle and Frothingham (28) suggest that trees of seedling and sucker origin may differ in tolerance.

For best growth, aspen requires a deep, fresh or moist, porous, well-drained loam soil; but it occurs on all soil types in the Lake States (19). However, the growth, development, vigor, and maturity of aspen are governed to a large extent by the site on which it occurs.

Aspen trees begin to bear seed when 20 to 25 years old (28, 32). Seed is produced every year and heavy crops are borne every 4 to 5 years (27, pp. 278-281). The staminate and pistillate flowers are borne separately on different trees and appear in May in the Lake States. The seed usually ripens within one month after pollination. The seed of aspen under natural conditions is short-lived, usually not longer than two or three weeks (18). A very large portion of the seed is abortive (28).

Aspen occurs in pure stands and in mixtures throughout the Lake States. In northeastern Minnesota it grows with paper birch, jack pine, balsam fir, and spruce. In north central Minnesota it occurs in mixture with northern hardwoods, paper birch, white pine, and balsam fir. In the Upper Peninsula of Michigan its associates are paper birch, the northern hardwoods, white spruce, and white pine. In Lower Michigan, it often occurs with oaks, pine, red maple, or northern hardwoods.

Rapid growth and high mortality are characteristics of young aspen forests whether of seedling or sprout origin. Growth is rapid for the first 35 to 50 years, and the peak yields are reached at about 40 to 60 years. Thereafter stands open up. Windthrow and ice breakage eliminate individual trees and decay^{5/} takes a heavy toll of both large and small trees (23). Stands on poor sites become decadent as early as 25 years of age (20); those on the best soils may maintain a good crown canopy until 60 years of age. At 80 years usually only a few decadent veterans remain (23).

Reproduction

According to present knowledge seeding is not a very effective means for obtaining aspen reproduction because (1) a very large portion of the seeds are abortive, (2) those which are fertile lose their germinative capacity within two to three weeks, and (3) unless the seeds fall on mineral soil--on recently burned-over or cleared land or on other open spots not covered

^{5/} Hypoxylon canker has been recently recognized as being responsible for much mortality.

with vegetation or decomposed leaf litter--their chances for growth are very poor (18, 28). Many observers have stated that it is very difficult to find aspen seedlings in the forest.

Although seedlings are scarce in the forest, claims are made that seedlings are superior to sprouts for growing stock. Weigle and Frothingham (28) state that seedlings are superior to sprouts in tolerance. Barth (3) contends that European aspen trees resulting from seed have better form, thinner branches, and better height growth, and are less susceptible to rot than trees of sucker origin. Shirley (23) indicates there is no available evidence to show that stands of sprout origin are less vigorous than seedlings.

The suckering of aspen has received considerable study which can be summarized as follows:

1. Suckering is aspen's principal method of regeneration.
2. The aspen root system is contained mostly within the top 2 feet of the surface. The roots follow an undulating course rising toward the surface and sinking again (2, 9).
3. Suckering takes place at those points in the roots which are less than 6 inches from the surface (28). Barth (3) believes that suckers develop from dormant buds on roots near the surface in the presence of strong light. Weigle and Frothingham (28) suggest the necessity of well-aerated soil.
4. Suckers may develop from seedlings only two years old, by which time the roots spread out 4 to 6 feet from the stem (9). Trees 70, 90, and 110 years old have suckered after cutting, indicating that trees do not lose this ability as they get old (2, 28).
5. Fires severe enough to kill full grown trees will not destroy the suckering capacity of roots (28). Light burning stimulates aspen suckering (22).
6. Baker suggests that certain roots are devoted primarily to reproduction (1).
7. Summer cutting reduces the suckering in aspen as compared to winter, spring, or fall cutting (2, 24, 28, 30). However, Baker and Weigle and Frothingham point out from observations in the Rocky Mountains and in the northeast, that such reduction is not enough to prevent full restocking three years after cutting. Summer fires discourage suckering. Suckering will take place for two years after cutting and after that time will practically cease (1). Zehngraff (32) indicates that brush competition shades out late-summer and second-year suckers. Baker (1) found little difference in height growth of suckers of summer cutting versus winter cutting after three years; however, Stoeckeler (24) found a wide difference after five years.
8. Weigle and Frothingham (28) state that suckers will soon form independent root systems. However, others have shown that the root systems stay joined for many years, but how actively the trees support each other through their root systems is not known.

9. Disking has been used as a means of stimulating aspen suckering three years after cutting (31).

Although aspen suckering has been studied a great deal, many questions on this subject remain unanswered. Moreover, results have been inconsistent in much of the work that has been done, so that basic studies in this field continue to be important.

Artificial regeneration by planting and seeding is still untried in this country. Europeans, particularly the Scandinavians, are giving considerable time and effort to this means of regeneration as a method of improving the quality of their stands (3). If by repeated suckering, aspen stands tend to run out or deteriorate, planting may be necessary to continue good quality stands of this species. When that time comes superior strains will be in demand.

Rotation and Yield by Sites

The rates of growth and yield of aspen at any given age vary with the productivity of the soil (quality of the site). Site quality for aspen now is expressed as height of the average dominant tree in a stand at 50 years or the height that the average dominant tree in a younger or older stand would have at 50 years (14). Stands under 20 years of age are too young for accurate site determination by this method. Hence some other methods involving soil or other factors are needed to evaluate site potential (25). A reliable method of early age site determination for aspen would be of great value to forest land managers.

Studies of forest soils show that there is a general relationship between soil types and rate of growth of the various forest types (19). The rate of growth of trees is usually determined by moisture relationships of the soil. In these studies aspen occurred to a great extent on all soil groups and was not characteristic of any of them. The following general relationships between site and soil, however, were noted: (1) Poor sites--sand soils with low water table; (2) medium sites--loams or loamy sands underlain with clay with ground water somewhat higher; and (3) good sites--clay loams with a moderately high water table.

Stoeckeler (25) points out that knowing the original forest cover is helpful in predicting sites for aspen.

The rotation, yield, and development of aspen on various sites can be summarized as follows (32):

1. Good sites.--Aspen reaches sawlog size at the rotation age of about 55 years. Yields of 9,100 board feet, or 46 cords per acre and higher, are attainable.
2. Medium sites.--The trees produce small logs and pulpwood at the rotation age of about 45 years. Estimated maximum yields are about 6,000 board feet or 33 cords per acre.

3. Poor sites.--Aspen seldom reaches more than pulpwood size at the rotation age of about 30 years. Maximum yields may run up to 18 cords per acre, but more often they are substantially less. Aspen on poor sites is very susceptible to disease and insect attacks. Much of the poor site aspen is noncommercial at the present time.

Although sound trees over 100 years old have been found in northern Minnesota and Ontario, aspen stands in the Lake States usually deteriorate very rapidly after age 70 on good sites and after rotation age on medium and poor sites. Decay in aspen is so rapid that 10 years after rotation age, 50 percent or more of the volume often is unmerchantable (21, 28, 33). Zehngraff (33) states that early estimates of volume yields were too high because proper weight was not given to high natural mortality and natural slowdown in growth with increasing age.

Thinnings and Intermediate Harvests

Under natural conditions aspen stands thin themselves very rapidly. In northern Minnesota, natural thinning reduced an aspen stand from more than 3,500 trees per acre at 13 years, to about 1,500 trees at 23 years, and to about 1,000 trees at 29 years (32). Young aspen stands show little tendency to stagnate (17, 23).

Experience in stand improvement and commercial thinning is still on a sample-plot basis. This experience shows that aspen responds well to thinning, especially during early life. In northern Minnesota, stands thinned at age 13 to an 8x9-foot spacing gave a volume of 17 cords per acre at age 23 as against 7 cords per acre in the unthinned check plot (32). Canadian plot experience on medium sites, however, does not show as good results (5). Thinnings thus far are recommended only for good sites.

Present thinning experience recommends leaving the largest, most thrifty trees and cutting "from below." This recommendation is based on the finding that decay is more prevalent in trees of lower than of higher crown classes (32). There is a possibility, however, that cutting "from above" could be used in early commercial thinning. Some sample plot work, for instance, indicates that this may be possible if the stand is not over 25 or 30 years old and is thrifty (32).

There are other advantages to thinning besides growth stimulation. Thinning may help to control the Hypoxylon canker (4, 32). The salvaging of merchantable trees that normally die in unmanaged stands can increase yields considerably. Thinning also can serve as a means for quality improvement for aspen.

The best thinning practices known from experimental work should be applied on a wider scale to determine how they will work out in practical forest management. However, there is need for continued study to determine the best spacing for various ages, how far down the "site scale" thinning will be workable, and how aspen stands of the young merchantable sizes (25 to 30 years) respond to thinnings from above.

Yields Under Management

The yields of aspen under management can only be estimated at present on the basis of plot work done in northern Minnesota. No intensive management has been initiated using this knowledge. Sample plot work indicates strongly that on good sites careful management can increase yields, improve quality, and shorten rotations. The recommended system of management for good sites consists of a noncommercial thinning before age 20, intermediate cuts at ages 30 and 45, and a final cut at age 55. This should produce more board-foot volume than is now obtained from unmanaged stands, plus a substantial volume of pulpwood (32).

No thinning plots have been established on medium and poor sites, and what will happen there can only be surmised.

Extensive management which should recognize rotation age by sites will, no doubt, permit a more orderly harvest and improved yields from aspen stands.

PRESENT PROGRAM OF RESEARCH IN ASPEN MANAGEMENT

The Lake States Station, the colleges and universities of the region, and some private companies are carrying on research in aspen management. The present program for the Station is given below; that for the schools and companies is also included, so far as information is available.

Reproduction

1. For a period of about 15 years, numerous harvest cuttings on a good site have been made on the Pike Bay Experimental Forest in northern Minnesota. Periodically these cuttings are examined to determine adequacy of the reproduction and stand development.
2. Harvest cuttings in aspen on a poor site have been carried on at the Cloquet Forest Experiment Station of the University of Minnesota, and observations made on regeneration. A new study of suckering is being started.
3. One test of disking of cut-over aspen land has been made at Pike Bay Experimental Forest (Minnesota). This resulted in regenerating a cut-over stand that had not reproduced well. Observations will be continued. A similar test was initiated by the Consolidated Water Power and Paper Company in Wisconsin in cooperation with the Northern Lakes Forest Research Center. A stimulation of aspen suckers was reported. Another test of the same sort is planned for the spring of 1950 by the Northern Paper Mills at Amasa, Michigan, in cooperation with the Upper Peninsula Forest Research Center.
4. A survey of aspen cut-over areas in Wisconsin was started by the Northern Lakes Forest Research Center in 1947 to determine the effect of season of cutting on aspen regeneration, rate of conversion to other species, etc. This is scheduled for completion in 1950.

Thinnings

1. At the Pike Bay Experimental Forest, thinning experiments were started over 20 years ago on fairly good sites. These have been maintained and expanded. At present there are thinning tests in:
 - (a) Noncommercial stands.--Established over 10 years ago in a 13-year-old sapling stand.
 - (b) Pulpwood stands.--Put in over 10 years ago in 30-year-old timber.
 - (c) Young stands for production of veneer.--Established over 20 years ago, primarily as spacing tests to develop good diameter growth and match-stock timber. These have been thinned the second time.
2. Thinning tests in several stands of aspen were established a few years ago at the Dunbar Forest Experiment Station (Michigan State College) near Sault Ste. Marie, Michigan. It is too early for results yet to be available.
3. In 1949 thinning tests in noncommercial stands were established by the Northern Paper Mills near Amasa, Michigan.

Diseases

1. A study of Hypoxylon canker of aspen was initiated by the Bureau of Plant Industry (USDA) in 1949, in cooperation with the University of Minnesota and the Northern Paper Mills. The 1949 work was confined mostly to the Upper Peninsula of Michigan, although a start was made in Wisconsin. The work will be expanded in Wisconsin and extended to Minnesota in 1950 in cooperation with a number of pulp and paper companies.
2. The University of Wisconsin has made selections of aspen that appear to be resistant to Hypoxylon canker. Further research will be done along this line and an extension into the field of breeding for disease resistance is contemplated.
3. The Minnesota and Ontario Paper Company and the University of Minnesota are cooperating in a study of old "conky" stands to determine the proportion of wood that is too far gone with heart rot to be usable and the proportion that still can be utilized for pulpwood.
4. Michigan State College at its Dunbar Forest Experiment Station is studying Hypoxylon canker in stands thinned in various ways.
5. The Northern Lakes Forest Research Center in Wisconsin will follow up intensively on the incidence of Hypoxylon canker in cutting experiments in aspen-balsam to be initiated in the spring of 1950.
6. The Headwaters Forest Research Center expects to cooperate with the University of Minnesota and BPI in an evaluation of cultural practices in aspen on the Pike Bay Experimental Forest with respect to the control of Hypoxylon.

7. Northern Paper Mills will follow their thinnings to observe the effect on Hypoxylon canker.

Insects

The University of Minnesota for some time has been investigating the forest tentless caterpillar, the aspen borer, and other insects. This work is being continued with the active cooperation of the Minnesota Conservation Department.

Management of Mixed Stands

1. Experimental timber stand improvement operations in aspen-northern hardwood stands were started at the Upper Peninsula Experimental Forest, Sault Ste. Marie, Michigan, in 1933. Periodic evaluation is made of this work.
2. Commercial intermediate cuttings in mixed aspen-balsam stands are being initiated in 1950 by the Station at two locations:
 - (a) Argonne Experimental Forest - near Hiles, Wisconsin.
 - (b) Pike Bay Experimental Forest - near Cass Lake, Minnesota.

Growth and Mortality Studies

Aside from the general growth figures obtained on the Forest Survey, the Station has under observation on the Pike Bay Experimental Forest 621 1/10-acre growth plots established in 1947. Of these, 271 are in sapling stands 10 to 30 years old and 350 in pole stands. The latter are chiefly 30 to 50 years old, but a few are older. Individual tree records are available on all plots.

NEEDED EXPANSION TO ROUND OUT THE PROBLEM

Basic Studies

Studies of aspen site should probably be given top priority in the field of fundamental research in aspen. Site quality as now expressed (height-age relationship) is unreliable for young stands. A reliable method of early site determination will be of great value to forest managers. Specific questions are:

1. What are good site indicators in young aspen stands?
 - (a) Can seedling establishment and growth be used as a site indicator?
 - (b) Must soil and water table be determined to classify site?
 - (c) Can sites be classified by measuring growth of young trees over short periods of 2 to 5 years?
 - (d) What are the comparative values of seedling and suckers as site indicators?
2. Can ratio of diameter growth to height growth of dominant trees be used as site index?
3. How fast is aspen site quality building up as a result of fire protection?
4. Are second-generation aspen stands equal, poorer, or better in quality than the stands which came up on recent burns?
5. What are the relationships of site and soil or moisture conditions to the conversion of aspen stands to other species?

Seed and seedling studies need much more attention. In particular:

1. How much viable seed is produced by aspen trees?
2. How often do crops of good viable seed occur?
3. Are seeds of young trees viable?
4. At what ages do aspen trees have the best seed production?
5. What are the best methods of establishing stands by seeding and planting. 6/
6. Are seedlings superior to sprouts in vigor, tolerance, and disease resistance?
7. If aspen deteriorates as a result of several generations reproduced by suckers, what is the best method for bringing "new blood" into the stand?

6/ Seeding and planting of aspen, although not at present considered to be practical may, in the future, be a necessary method for maintaining good aspen stands. Such studies also may give valuable clues to natural regeneration.

Suckering, although it has been studied more than seeding, must receive further attention to confirm general observations and theories on its importance:

1. How long after cutting of the parent tree will roots continue to produce suckers?
2. Can portions of the roots be transplanted and still produce suckers?
3. What is the structure of roots that sprout and how does this compare with that of nonsprouting roots?
4. Do suckers develop their own root systems and how soon are they independent of the parent system?
5. After thinning, do roots of the cut trees serve the residual trees?
6. Does the capacity of trees to sucker run out after repeated suckering?
7. What is the theory behind disking to produce suckers? Do all old roots sucker, or are the suckers predominantly from the few scattered new trees?
8. Is there a relationship between sprouting and site?
9. What are the light, air and soil temperatures, or other conditions needed for sprouting?
10. Do all aspen roots sprout or are there special reproduction roots?
11. What is the relationship between root sucker and bark peeling?
("Pinpoint" the relationship of time of cutting and sprouting.)
12. What ^{is} the relationship between season of cutting and the degree of restocking of aspen stands 2, 5, 10, and 15 years after cutting?

Applied Studies

Past and current management studies have given some good leads in handling aspen stands, particularly on good sites. Nevertheless, there is need for a number of applied studies; and much of the past work should be expanded and tested on different sites and conditions.

1. Of what general usefulness is disking as a regeneration measure on various sites and in various age classes?
2. What is the relation of rot to crown and vigor class on different sites and in different age classes?

3. What is the relation of season of cutting to production of suckers on various sites and age classes, and how does this tie in with the development of vegetation?
4. What are the approximate yields of aspen by site classes? How does Hypoxylon canker affect the yields?
5. When and how should aspen be thinned for best results (noncommercial and for pulpwood, sawlogs, and veneer)?
6. What are the best growing stock levels for aspen of various ages by site classes?
7. What are aspen yields under different systems of cutting?
8. To what extent should aspen be maintained in mixture with other species on good sites, and what methods can be used to accomplish such an objective?

Pilot Plant Studies

As soon as silvicultural or other practices are developed on a small scale, they should be tried out on a pilot-plant basis at several locations in the region where costs and returns can be determined and their economic usefulness evaluated.

LITERATURE CITED

- (1) Baker, F. S.
1918 Aspen reproduction in relation to management.
Jour. For. 16:389-398.
- (2) Baker, Frederick S.
1925 Aspen in the Central Rocky Mountain Region.
USDA Dept. Bul. No. 1291, 47 pp., illus.
- (3) Barth, Agnar
1942 Aspen: Dens kultur og behandling for kvalitetsproduksjon.
(Aspen: Its culture and treatment for quality production)
Publ. No. 1 Frølich Fund for the Advancement of Aspen Manage-
ment, 87 pp., illus., Oslo. (Translated from Norwegian by Paul
Zehngraff, Lake States Forest Expt. Sta., typewritten)
- (4) Baxter, Dow Vawter
1943 Pathology in forest practice. 618 pp., illus., New York.
- (5) Bickerstaff, A.
1946 The effect of thinning upon the growth and yield of aspen
stands. Dom. Forest Service Silv. Research Note 80, 25 pp.
(processed).
- (6) Bier, J. E.
1940 Studies in forest pathology. III. Hypoxylon canker of poplar.
Dom. Canada, Dept. Agr. Pub. 691, Tech. Bul. 27, 39 pp., illus.
- (7) Chase, Clarence D.
1947 Aspen availability and supply. Lake States Forest Expt. Sta.
Aspen Report No. 2, 15 pp. (processed).
- (8) Cunningham, R. N., et al
1946 Revised forest statistics for the Lake States, 1945. Lake States
Forest Expt. Sta. Station Paper 1, 27 pp., (processed).
- (9) Day, Maurice
1944 The root system of the aspen. Amer. Midland Naturalist 32:
502-509, illus.
- (10) Gruenhagen, R. H.
1945 Hypoxylon pruinae and its pathogenesis on poplar.
Phytopathology 35:72-89.
- (11) Horn, A. G.
1948 Aspen takes lead as pulping species in the Lake States.
Lake States Forest Expt. Tech. Note 288, 2 pp. (processed).
- (12) _____
1950 Commodity drain from forests of the Lake States, 1948.
Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Station Paper 20, 35 pp.,
illus. (processed).

- (13) Johnson, R.P.A., Kittredge, Joseph, Jr., and Schmitz, Henry
1930 Aspen--availability, properties, and utilization.
Univ. of Minn. Agr. Expt. Sta. Tech. Bul. 70, 72 pp., illus.
- (14) Kittredge, Joseph Jr., and Gevorkientz, S. R.
1929 Forest possibilities of aspen lands in the Lake States
Univ. of Minn. Agr. Expt. Sta. Tech. Bul. 60, 84 pp., illus.
- (15) Lorenz, Rolland C., and Christensen, Clyde M.
1937 A survey of forest tree diseases and their relation to stand
improvement in the Lake and Central States. USDA Bur. Plant
Ind., 52 pp., illus. (processed).
- (16) Meinecke, E. P.
1929 Quaking aspen: a study in applied forest pathology
USDA Tech. Bul. 155, 34 pp., illus.
- (17) Moss, E. H.
1932 The vegetation of Alberta. IV. The poplar association and
related vegetation of central Alberta. Jour. of Ecology,
20(2):380-415, illus.
- (18) _____
1938 Longevity of seed and establishment of seedlings in species
of Populus. Bot. Gaz. 99:529-542.
- (19) Roe, Eugene I.
1935 Forest Soils--The basis of forest management
Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. 9 pp., illus. (processed).
- (20) Schantz-Hansen, T.
1945 Harvesting young aspen on the Cloquet Forest.
Jour. For. 43:506-507.
- (21) Schmitz, Henry, and Jackson, Lyle W.R.
1927 Heart rot of aspen--with special reference to management in
Minnesota. Minn. Agr. Expt. Sta. Tech. Bul. 50, 43 pp., illus.
- (22) Shirley, Hardy L.
1932 Does light burning stimulate aspen suckers? Part I.
Jour. For. 29:524-525. Part II. Jour. For. 30:419-420.
- (23) _____
1941 Restoring conifers to aspen lands in the Lake States.
USDA Tech. Bul. 763, 36 pp., illus.
- (24) Stoeckeler, Joseph H.
1947 When is plantation release most effective?
Jour. of For. 45:265-271, illus.
- (25) _____
1948 The growth of quaking aspen as affected by soil properties
and fire. Jour. of For. 46:727-737, illus.

- (26) Swift, Ernest
1949 Wisconsin deer damage to forest reproduction survey - final report. Wis. Cons. Dept. Publ. 347, 24 pp., illus.
- (27) U. S. Forest Service
1948 Woody-Plant Seed Manual. USDA Misc. Publ. 654, 416 pp., illus.
- (28) Weigle, W. G., and Frothingham, E. H.
1911 The aspens: their growth and management. USDA For. Serv. Bul. 93, 35 pp.
- (29) Zasada, Zigmund A., and Kluender, W. A.
1946 Future of aspen in the Lake States. Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Economic Note 21, 11 p. (processed). Chemurgic Digest 5(11):193-195, 199, June 15, 1949.
- (30) Zehngraff, Paul J.
1946 Season of cutting affects aspen sprouting. Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Tech. Note 250, 1 p. (processed).
- (31) _____
1946 How to improve aspen stocking following summer logging. Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Tech. Note 251, 1 p., (processed).
- (32) _____
1947 Possibilities of managing aspen. Lake States Aspen Report No. 21, 23 pp., processed.
- (33) _____
1948 Yields of aspen in unmanaged stands. Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Tech. Note 300, 1 p., processed.
- (34) _____
1949 Aspen as a forest crop in the Lake States. Jour. For. 47:555-565, illus.

SIXTY-FOUR OTHER REFERENCES ON ASPEN

Anderson, Robert T.

- 1936 Yields of aspen in the Lake States.
Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Forest Research Digest, May-June,
pp. 3-7 (processed).

Anonymous

- 1920 Poplar and the aspen borer.
Am. Flor. 55:1236

-
- 1923 Aspen culture in Sweden (Review of Lagerberg Om uppgragning av
aspforöplantor. Skogsvårdsforeningens Tidskrift, May-June 1922,
pp. 125-142). Jour. For. 21:627-30.

Baker, F. S.

- 1918 Aspen as a temporary forest type.
Jour. For. 16:294-303, illus.

-
- 1921 Two races of aspen. Jour. of For. 19:412-413.

Büsgen, M. and E. Münch

- 1931 Structure and life of forest trees. (English translation by
Thomas Thomson) New York, 436 pp., illus.

Cope J. A.

- 1947 Fruit to fiber (from a poor orchard site to a stand of fast-
growing trees). Am. Forests 53:223, 238-239, illus.

Curtis, James D.

- 1948 Aspen's place in the sun.
Am. Forests 54:264-265, 288, illus.

Day, M. W.

- 1947 The use of dinitro phenol for the control of aspen.
Mich. Agr. Expt. Sta. Quar. Bul. V30(2):241-3.

Delotbiniere, H.G.J.

- 1935 Aspen poplar (Populus tremula)
Quar. Jour. of Forestry (Br.) 29(1):19-25.

Ellison, Lincoln

- 1943 A natural seedling of western aspen.
Jour. of For. 41:767-68, illus.

Engle, LaMont G.

- 1949 Cutting aspen overstory improves growth of young hardwoods
Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Tech. Note 314, 1 p. (processed).

Evans, Glenn L.

- 1946 The management of aspen for pulp production in the Lake States.
Thesis (M.F.) typewritten, School of Forestry, Univ. of Minn.
30 pp., illus.

Eyre, F. H.

- 1933 Aspen competition in Norway pine plantations.
Jour. of For. 31:318-321.

Faust, Mildred E.

- 1936 Germination of *Populus grandidentata* and *P. tremuloides* with
particular reference to oxygen consumption. Bot. Gaz. 97:808-21.

Fetherolf, James M.

- 1917 Aspen as a permanent forest type.
Jour. of For. 15:757-760.

Gates, Frank C.

- 1930 Aspen association in northern Lower Michigan.
Bot. Gaz. 90:253-59, illus.

Gevorkiantz, S. R.

- 1947 Taper table for aspen (*Populus tremuloides*)--well-stocked stands.
Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Tech. Note 266, 2 pp., (processed).

_____, Rudolf, Paul O., and Zehngraff, Paul J.

- 1943 A tree classification for aspen, jack pine, and second-growth
red pine. Jour. of For. 41:268-274.

Grant, Martin L.

- 1934 The climax forest community in Itasca County, Minnesota, and its
bearing upon the successional status of the pine community.
Ecology 15:243-257.

Gray, W. G.

- 1949 The raising of aspen from seed.
H.M. For. Comm. Forest Record No. 2, 7 pp., illus.

Halliday, W.E.D., and Brown, A.W.A.

- 1943 The distribution of important forest trees in Canada.
Ecology 24:353-373.

Hartley, Carl, and Hahn, Glenn G.

- 1920 Notes on some diseases of aspen.
Phytopathology 10:141-147, illus.

Herman, Francis R.

- 1949 Growth of aspen following partial cutting
Southwestern Forest & Range Expt. Sta. Research Note 117, 3 pp.,
processed.

Hirt, Ray H. and Hopp, Henry

- 1942 Relation of tube layers to age in sporophores of *Fomes igniarius*
on aspen. Phytopathology 32:176-8, illus.

Hodson, A. C.

- 1941 An ecological study of the forest tent caterpillar (*Malacosoma*
disstria, Hbn.) in northern Minnesota.
Minn. Agr. Expt. Sta. Tech. Bul. 148, 55 pp., illus.

Hofer, George

- 1920 The aspen borer and how to control it.
USD. Farmer's Bul. 1154, 11 pp., illus.

Horton, Gerald S. and Hendee, Clare

- 1934 A study of rot in aspen on the Chippewa National Forest.
Jour. For. 32:493-494.

Jones, Mary W.

- 1937 Aspen of Abajo peak. Nature Mag. 30(7):39, illus.

Kaufert, Frank

- 1937 Factors influencing the formation of periderm in aspen.
Am. Jour. of Botany 24:24-30, illus.

Kittredge, Joseph Jr.

- 1938 The interrelations of habitat, growth rate, and associated vegetation
in the aspen community of Minnesota and Wisconsin. Ecol. Monog. 8:
151-246, illus.

Lake States Forest Experiment Station

- 1930 Germination and survival of conifers under aspen.
Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Tech. Note 28, 1 p. (processed).

-
- 1931 Fire hinders conversion of aspen to pine and spruce.
Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Tech. Note 34, 1 p. (processed).

-
- 1931 The future of aspen in the Lake States.
Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Tech. Note 50, 1 p. (processed).

-
- 1932 Growing bigger and better aspen.
Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Tech. Note 52, 1 p. (processed).

-
- 1932 Aspen and birch follow pine cutting in northeastern Minnesota.
Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Tech. Note 53, 1 p. (processed).

-
- 1933 Aspen normal stand tables
Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Tech. Note 71, 1 p. (processed).

-
- 1934 Planting under aspen can be made successful.
Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Tech. Note 80, 1 p. (processed).

-
- 1935 Thinning in aspen
Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Forest Research Digest, March, p.4.
(processed)

-
- 1935 Release of norway pine from aspen.
Jour. of For. 33:174-175.

Lake States Forest Experiment Station

1935 Growth rate of aspen indicates potential forest cover.

Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Tech. Note 92, 1 p. (processed).

1935 Treatment of aspen stands.
5 pp., illus (processed).

1935 There's profit in aspen.
Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Forest Research Digest, December,
pp. 9-10 (processed).

1938 Girdled aspen good for fuelwood.
Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Forest Research Digest, Spring 1938,
pp. 6-7 (processed).

Larson, Geo. C.

1944 More on seedlings of western aspen.
Jour. of For. 42:452.

Peterson, L.O.T.

1948 Some aspects of poplar borer, *Saperda calcarata* Say (Cerambycidae)
infestations under parkbelt conditions. In Rep. Entom. Soc. 1947:
56-61.

Povah, Alfred

1924 Hypoxylon, poplar canker
Phytopathology 14:140-145, illus.

Reim, Paul

1929 Regeneration biology of aspen on the basis of data collected in
Esthonia and Finland. (Translation by Paul Rudolf.) U.S. Forest
Service Translation 220, 23 pp., 1935 (processed).

Robinove, Joseph J. and Horton, Clark W.

1929 Growth rate of aspens in the region about Douglas Lake, Michigan.
Amer. Jour. of Botany 16:169-72.

Sampson, Arthur W.

1916 The stability of aspen as a type.
Proc. of the Soc. of Amer. Foresters 11:86-87.

1919 Effect of grazing upon aspen reproduction.
USDA Bul. 741, 29 pp., illus.

Schantz-Hansen, T.

1917 A preliminary study of several logging methods for aspen.
Timber Producers Assn. Monthly Bul. 2(10):7-10.

Shaw, Mary C.

1935 Facts about the quaking aspens.
Flower Grower 22:221, illus.

Shirley, Hardy L.

- 1936 Will pine or aspen dominate Minnesota forests?
Proc. Minn. Acad. Sci. 4(4): 25-28.

Shope, Paul F.

- 1927 Stem and leaf structure of aspen at different altitudes in
Colorado! Amer. Jour. of Botany 14(3):116-19, illus.

Snow, Albert G., Jr.

- 1938 Use of indolebutyric acid to stimulate the rooting of dormant
aspen cuttings. Jour of For. 36:582-587, illus.

Stoeckeler, J. H.

- 1940 Memorandum on Aspen Site Study.
Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Unpubl. report, 11 pages, type-
written, Jan. 19, 1940 (RS-LS REGENERATION-Planting)

-
- 1945 Nutrients in duff and humus layers increase growth of forest
plantations. Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Tech. Note 226,
1 p. (processed).

Tunstall, George

- 1945 Growth of aspen.
Canadian Dept. of Mines & Resources, Dom. Forest Serv. Silv.
Research Note 77, 2 pp., tables.

Zehngraff, F. J.

- 1946 Thinning of dense young aspen is a good investment.
Blackduck American, April 11, 1946.

-
- 1946 Aspen suckers can produce well-stocked mature stands.
Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Tech. Note 257, 1 p. (processed).

-
- 1946 Thinning young aspen can pay.
Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Tech. Note 263, 1 p., mimeo.

-
- 1947 Response of young aspen suckers to overhead shade.
Lake States Forest Expt. Sta. Tech. Note 278, 1 p. (processed).

-
- 1948 Yields of aspen in unmanaged stands.
Lake States Forest Expt. Station Tech. Note 300, 1 p. (processed).